Intermec Technologies Corp. v. Palm, Inc. Doc. 238

DAVID T. BIDERMAN, Bar No. 101577
TIMOTHY J. FRANKS, Bar No. 197645
M. CHRISTOPHER JHANG, Bar No. 211463
FARSCHAD FARZAN, Bar No. 215194
PERKINS COIE LLP
Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 2400
San Francisco, California 94111
Telephone: (415) 344-7000
Facsimile: (415) 344-7050
Email: DBiderman@perkinscoie.com
Email: TFranks@perkinscoie.com
Email: CJhang@perkinscoie.com
Email: FFarzan@perkinscoie.com

Attorneys for Defendant GOOGLE INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION

CLRB HANSON INDUSTRIES, LLC d/b/a INDUSTRIAL PRINTING, and HOWARD STERN, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

GOOGLE, INC.,

Defendant.

CASE NO. C O5-03649 JW

GOOGLE INC.'S NOTICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION AND MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL IN CONNECTION WITH ITS MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON PLAINTIFFS' "120% RULE" AND "PARTIAL MONTH" CLAIMS

Date: November 10, 2008
Time: 9:00 a.m.
Dept.: Courtroom 8
Judge: Honorable James Ware

Dockets.Justia.com

NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION

TO PLAINTIFFS CLRB HANSON INDUSTRIES, LLC, d/b/a INDUSTRIAL PRINTING, and HOWARD STERN, AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on November 10, 2008, at 9:00 a.m., or at such later date and time as the Court may order, in Courtroom 8 of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, San Jose Division, defendant Google Inc. ("Google") will move for an order sealing the following documents and/or information filed in support of Google's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on Plaintiffs' "120% Rule" and "Partial Month" Claims: **Declaration of Adam Samet in support of Google's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on Plaintiffs' "120% Rule" and "Partial Month" Claims, and Exhibits 1, 2, 3, and 4 attached thereto.**

Google seeks this motion on the ground that the Samet Declaration and the exhibits thereto contain information relating to Google's confidential and proprietary billing processes, and information concerning Plaintiffs' confidential account data. Google treats such information as confidential and would suffer harm and/or competitive injury if such information were made public. Google is filing a public version of the declaration that redacts only those portions that contain confidential information.

Dated: September 5, 2008

PERKINS COIE LLP

By: /S/
David T. Biderman
Attorneys for Defendant Google Inc.

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c), Civil Local Rules 7-11, and 79-5, defendant Google Inc. ("Google") makes this Miscellaneous Administrative Request for an Order allowing Google to file under seal certain documents filed in connection with Google's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on Plaintiffs' "120% Rule" and "Partial Month" Claims.

Specifically, Google requests that the Court file the following documents and information set forth below under seal:

Declaration of Adam Samet in support of Google's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on Plaintiffs' "120% Rule" and "Partial Month" Claims; and Exhibits 1, 2, 3, and 4 attached thereto.

Good cause exists justifying the filing of the above declaration and exhibits under seal because such the declaration and exhibits contain, discuss, or refer not only to Google's trade secret and/or confidential competitive and business information, but also reflect Plaintiffs' confidential account data. The Declaration of Adam Samet and attached exhibits relate to Google's confidential billing system and to Plaintiffs' account data, which they may consider confidential. Accordingly, Google as well as the Plaintiffs could be irreparably harmed if such trade secret and/or confidential information are made available to the public.

II. ARGUMENT

A. Good Cause Exists to Protect Google's Trade Secret and/or Confidential Business and Competitive Information.

Upon a showing of good cause, a court may make any order that justice requires to protect a party, including an order "that a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information not be revealed or be revealed only in a designated way." FED. R. CIV. P. 26(c)(7). In particular, a court may order that court documents be filed under seal where such good cause is shown to override the public's right to access. *See San Jose Mercury News, Inc. v. U.S. Dist. Ct.*, 187 F.3d 1096, 1103 (9th Cir. 1999). The factors relevant to a determination of whether the presumption of access is overcome include "the public interest in understanding the judicial process and whether disclosure of the material could result in improper use of the material for . . . infringement upon trade secrets." *Hagestad v. Tragesser*, 49 F.3d 1430, 1433-34 (9th Cir. 1995) ("Every court has supervisory power over its own records and files, and access has been denied where court files might have become a vehicle for improper purposes.") (citations and quotations omitted).

Good cause exists for this Court to grant this Miscellaneous Administrative Request. Google seeks to file under seal documents that relate to Google's confidential information and Plaintiffs' account data. Google seeks to file the Samet Declaration and the exhibits thereto under seal because they contain information relating to Google's confidential and proprietary billing processes, and Plaintiffs' confidential account data. Google treats such information as confidential and would suffer harm and/or competitive injury if such information were made public. Google is filing a public version of the declaration that redacts those portions that contain confidential information.

B. The Request for Filing Under Seal is Narrowly Tailored.

This Miscellaneous Administrative Request should be granted because it is narrowly tailored to cover only the documents and specific portions of the Declaration of Adam Samet to be filed for which good cause for filing under seal exists.

III. CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, good cause exists to file the above-referenced documents, which relate to Google's confidential information and Plaintiffs' confidential account data under seal. Further, this request is narrowly tailored to seal only confidential information. Accordingly, Google respectfully requests that the Court grant its Miscellaneous Administrative Request in the form of the [Proposed] Order filed herewith.

Dated: September 5, 2008

PERKINS COIE LLP

By: /S/
David T. Biderman
Attorneys for Defendant Google Inc.